

From: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 5/15/2012 4:04:15 PM
To: Fran Burns/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Fw: Dimock paper

Hi Fran -

Well - I took a shot at updating the #s. I started to change some of the other wording to reflect that we have now sampled 61 homes. However - I am not comfortable editing the "messaging". I am not certain where we officially stand in terms of additional sampling at this time.

Rich - Please take a look at the attachment. I was having some trouble - not sure that tracked changes was working - so I manually highlighted my edits in red font and marked up deletions with strikeouts. Feel free to call me and/or Fran to discuss.

Thanks - Kelley

From: Fran Burns/R3/USEPA/US
To: Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/15/2012 03:06 PM
Subject: Fw: Dimock paper

Here's another one.

----- Forwarded by Fran Burns/R3/USEPA/US on 05/15/2012 03:05 PM -----

From: Catherine Libertz/R3/USEPA/US
To: Fran Burns/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kelly Gable/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Ron Borsellino/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennie Saxe/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/15/2012 03:04 PM
Subject: Dimock paper

Hi folks. Sorry for the quick turnaround. As you are probably aware, we just got the request. Anyway, attached is what seems to be a more up to date paper that may help you with the updating. Please send the updated version to me and Jennie Saxe as quickly as possible. It still needs to get through the Regional Administrator and HQ, and the Administrator testifies at 10:30 tomorrow morning (on the EPA budget, not Dimock. These are just prep papers just in case).

Thanks,
Cathy
2737